Gerry P. Fagan Christopher T. Sweeney Jordan W. FitzGerald MOULTON BELLINGHAM PC 27 North 27th Street, Suite 1900 P.O. Box 2559 Billings, Montana 59103-2559 Telephone: (406) 248-7731 Fax: (406) 248-7889 Gerry.Fagan@moultonbellingham.com Christopher.Sweeney@moultonbellingham.com

Attorneys for Defendant Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of Pennsylvania

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BILLINGS DIVISION

TRACY CAEKAERT and CAMILLIA MAPLEY,

Plaintiffs,

-VS-

WATCHTOWER BIBLE AND TRACT SOCIETY OF NEW YORK, INC., WATCH TOWER BIBLE AND TRACT SOCIETY OF PENNSYLVANIA, and BRUCE MAPLEY SR., Case No. CV-20-00052-SPW-TJC

DEFENDANT WTPA'S RESPONSE BRIEF TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SANCTIONS RE: INTERROGATORY NOS. 9 AND 15 (ECF NO. 85 & 318)

Defendants.

Pursuant to leave of Court (doc. no. 338) granted to Defendant Watch Tower

Bible and Tract Society of Pennsylvania ("WTPA"), WTPA respectfully provides

the following Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions Re: Interrogatory Nos. 9

and 15 (ECF No. 85 & 318), filed on April 4, 2024. (Doc. No. 331) WTPA responds in order to address two of the remedies specifically requested by Plaintiffs in their Brief in Support that Plaintiffs direct in part at WTPA. (*See* doc. no. 332, at p. 14)

Despite only asserting their Motion for Sanctions Re: Interrogatory Nos. 9 & 15 against WTNY (*see* Doc. No. 331), and making no argument that WTPA did anything improper whatsoever, Plaintiffs seek two sanctions which would significantly impact WTPA. Plaintiffs request in their Motion a ruling deeming admitted the alleged facts that "[d]uring the period 1973 to 1992, the Governing Body acted through WTNY and WTPA when it promulgated the policies and procedures elders at local congregations were to follow when handling allegations of child sexual abuse" and "[d]uring the period 1973 to 1992, the Governing Body was acting through WTNY and WTPA for all purposes relevant to this case." (Doc. No. 332, at p. 14, ¶¶ b & c)

This issue arose previously when Plaintiffs sought sanctions against WTNY and their proposed remedies included rulings that addressed and impacted WTPA. (*See* Docs. Nos. 287-88 & 290) As WTPA pointed out then, as a matter of law, Plaintiffs cannot impose sanctions upon WTPA as a non-party to Plaintiffs' Motion or for conduct which WTNY allegedly did. There clearly is no legal support to impose sanctions upon a party for alleged discovery abuse which did not involve that party. The availability of Rule 37 sanctions for discovery conduct applies only

2

to the **party** who allegedly abused the discovery rules or disobeyed a court order on discovery. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, Notes of Advisory Committee on 1970 amendments (rule provides generally for "sanctions against parties or persons unjustifiably resisting discovery."); see also 7 Moore's Federal Practice - Civil § 37.42(1) (footnotes & citations omitted) (discovery sanctions only proper against party that did not comply with discovery rules and court order compelling discovery); Letelier v. Republic of Chile, 748 F.2d 790, 795 n.2 (2d Cir. 1984) ("one party to litigation will not be subjected to sanctions [for failure to cooperate in discovery] because of the failure of another to comply with discovery, absent a showing that the other party controlled the actions of the non-complying party"), citing 4A J. Moore, Moore's Federal Practice para. 37.05 at 37-106, 107 (2d ed. 1984)); see also Patton v. Aerojet Ordnance Co., 765 F.2d 604, 606 (6th Cir. 1985); Ill. C. R. Co. v. Templar, 463 F.2d 972, 975 (10th Cir. 1972). This is in part the rule because a "court's imposition and selection of sanctions pursuant to Rule 37(b) must be consistent with due process requirements." See Falstaff Brewing Corp. v. Miller Brewing Co., 702 F.2d 770, 783 (9th Cir. 1983) (citations omitted).

There is no authority to impose sanctions upon WTPA because it is not a party to Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions and it is not accused of any improper discovery conduct. Therefore, Plaintiffs' requested Sanctions b and c should not be granted. (*See* Doc. No. 332, at p. 14, ¶¶ b & c)

Case 1:20-cv-00052-SPW Document 360 Filed 04/17/24 Page 4 of 5

DATED this 17th day of April, 2024.

MOULTON BELLINGHAM PC

By <u>/s/ Gerry Fagan</u>

GERRY P. FAGAN CHRISTOPHER T. SWEENEY JORDAN W. FITZGERALD 27 North 27th Street, Suite 1900 P.O. Box 2559 Billings, Montana 59103-2559

Attorneys for Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of Pennsylvania

4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on 17th day of April, 2024, a copy of the foregoing was served on the following persons:

- 1. U.S. District Court, Billings Division
- Robert L. Stepans Ryan R. Shaffer James C. Murnion MEYER, SHAFFER & STEPANS, PLLP 430 Ryman Street Missoula, MT 59802 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Matthew L. Merrill (*pro hac vice*) MERRILL LAW, LLC 1863 Wazee Street, #3A Denver, CO 80202

- Jon A. Wilson Brett C. Jensen BROWN LAW FIRM, P.C. 315 North 24th Street P.O. Drawer 849 Billings, MT 59103-0849 Attorneys for Defendant Watchtower Bible and Tract Society of New York, Inc.
 Joel M. Taylor, Esq. (pro hac vice) MILLER MCNAMARA & TAYLOR LLP 100 South Bedford Road, Suite 340 Mount Kisco, NY 10549
- 4. Bruce G. Mapley, Sr. 3905 Caylan Cove Birmingham, AL 35215 *Pro se*

By the following means:

1, 2, 3	_CM/ECF	Fax
	Hand Delivery	E-Mail
4	U.S. Mail	Overnight Delivery Services

By /s/ Gerry Fagan